The Employer branding building

V.Tharanya[1]., Vijayakumar Gajenderan[2]., Nishad Nawaz[3]

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Sir Theagaraya College, Chennai-600 021. Email: viji_tri2003@yahoo.com
[2]Assistant Professor of Commerce, Govt. Arts and Science College, Dr.RK Nagar Tondiarpet Chennai-81. Email: shanthini_bn@yahoo.com
[3]Assistant Professor, Department of Business Management, College of Business Administration, Kingdom University, Bahrain., Corresponding author : nishadnawaz@hotmail.com

Abstract
A Brandis built around an employer helps the firm to attract excellent graduates from prestigious institutions. The employer brand concept is different from the institution's brand concept. The first focus is on employees, and institutions' aim is on markets.   Fresh talented graduates seek strong employer brands to begin a career. Even for experienced executives moving from one firm to another, the brand image of the employer comes quite useful. In a way, it is like a product branding for consumers to select and use. Branding begins with Segmentation, Targeting &Positioning (STP), and the employer branding also needs to with STP for better outcomes.
Keywords: Brand, Segmentation, Targeting, and Positioning

Introduction
The word brand has its origin from the Norse term "brand," which initially was applied to a cattle-this word means "to burn." In this manner, brand in its prior utilization intended to consume, and from these inceptions, it advanced into English. It was obviously by consuming that, an early man stepped possession on his animals, and with the advancement of exchange; purchasers utilized brands as a method for recognizing the steers of one rancher and another.   The brand's journey that began with animal husbandry then went into products, service, and institutions and now into around employer with traits like high Quality of Work Life (QWL), great compensation.

According to (Kotler, Brown, Adam, & Armstrong, 2004), the products have five levels as follows. :
The core benefit level is the essential need or wants that consumers keep happy by consuming the product or service.
The generic product level is a structural adaptation of the item containing just those qualities or trademark essential for it is working yet with no distinctive highlights. It is essentially a stripped-down, straightforward rendition of the item that enough plays out the item work.
The expected product level is a situate of properties or attributes that purchasers regularly expect and concur with it when they buy.

The augmented product level incorporates additional item properties, benefits, or related assistance that recognizes the item from the contenders.

The potential product level incorporates all the growths and changes that an item may at last experience later on.
The augmented product in the employer brand is the pride associated with the brand. It is like owning an expensive car. The Core benefit feature of the employer brand is "Worth pursuing a career" item. What an IAS or IPS aspirant expects in these jobs? It is raw power and only power. The employer here is the Government of India (GOI), and the brand is commanding all the time.   The potential product, in this case, is the post-retirement assignment that one gets from it. Many Goldman Sachs seniors get plum assignments in White House since they are from Goldman Sachs. That is how the employer brand helps the employees. Brand and brand equity are not the same. Brand equity includes its positives. Consumer Based Brand Equity   (CBBE) is a branch of brand value conceptualized for estimating brand value in the buyers' point of view (Farjam & Hongyi, 2015; Tuominen, 1999). One research confirms that brand familiarity affects product evaluation (Dacin & Smith, 1994).

In the social media area, the top of the mind brand is Facebook, and so all look upon to work for Mark's Facebook. Great recognition with a brand has been appeared to expanded certainty, disposition with the brand(Laroche, Kim, & Zhou, 1996). The brand has stakeholders outside; it can be users, and

inside it are employees. (Keller & Lehmann, 2006) clarified that brand value is one summit of qualities produced from three market levels: the organization, the client, and the monetary markets. Company image, customer base, and Share price or sale valuation, etc., Yamaha makes all goods in Yamaha name only. 3M Corporation also sells all its products as 3M brands.

It is entrenched that workers are a vital partner gathering(Crane & Matten, 2005; Donaldson & Preston, 1995; Freeman, 1984). Boss marking is perceived as a technique of financial, practical, and mental points of interest given by the business. (Tanwar & Prasad, 2016; Turauskas, Rita, & Krikštolaitis, 2013) The manager brand has a fundamental connection impact that adds to improve workers' inspiration. (Srivastava & Bhatnagar, 2010). (Barrow & Mosley, 2011)contend that business marking impacts workers in-job and extra-job execution and employment fulfilment. In job is the standard assignments and extra as the name proposes going past the job (Backhaus & Tikoo, 2004) fight that the business marking speaks to the hierarchical exertion. Employer marking has risen as a system of an association to separate themselves from their rivals, portrayed by social, financial, notoriety, improvement, and decent variety esteem (Ambler & Barrow, 1996). Notoriety implies a great deal for the organization, and once gone, it is away forever. Corporate Reputation (CR) is moderated by Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) because the firm is seen giving back something to society (Almeida & Coelho, 2019).

The employees—through their communications with different workers—distinguish themselves as a significant aspect of a group, inside their workplace (Bauman & Skitka, 2012). India's defense working is an excellent feeling for the soldiers. It is not employer alone builds CR but employees too. Merck, a pharmaceutical giant, has so many patents in its name, and it is its scientist's contribution (Guzmán, Abimbola, Shamma, & Hassan, 2009). (Melewar, 2008) has discovered that fruitful associations with Employer brand notorieties utilized their workers as an approach to refine their association and to advance open trust. Also, expanded brand notoriety may strengthen the connections among workers and the association, in this way improving the corporate picture and expanding the responsibility and execution(Bauman & Skitka, 2012)

However, there is very diminutive information about the employer brand being a motivating tool for people employed (Ergun & Tatar, 2018). (KESEN, 2016) talked of the mechanism by which it is aimed. One take away for the firm is obtaining Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB), where the employees give their best since they think the entity needs to live long, healthy too. It is also called pro-social behaviour. It is for societal wellbeing. It is an act that we witness in defences services. It is driven by patriotism, and soldiers even lay down their life to save borders and nations. Such spirit in the company is of immense help to go the extra mile when needed (Singh & Srivastava, 2016; Suryani, Gama, & Parwita, 2019).

This domain is pro-social behavior, which comprises of practices consensually viewed as gainful to other people. It incorporates activities, for example, helping, sharing, encouraging, controlling, protecting, and shielding (Batson, 1998; Dovidio, Piliavin, Schroeder, & Penner, 2017)

The Employer Branding
It is no different from product branding. STP as a formula refers to segmentation, targeting, and positioning. Market segments are not naturally occurring entities (Wedel & Kamakura, 2002). In general, the generic approach is fatal, and STP gets the competitive advantage it needed. Demographic segmentation is, by far, mainly popular and widespread form of segmentation(Beane & Ennis, 1987). Pepsi says it is for "Generation Next," meaning it is for young people.

Segmentation once decided, then focus begins target. Tata Nano segment is upgraded from two-wheelers, and the target is city commuting small families, and its positioning is the cheapest car. Sadly Nano failed in the market, and Tata said, positioning was a bad idea as no one wants to buy a cheap car. Maybe the value of money positioning is a good alternative, but they missed it. One segment for employer brand is the Potential Actualization (PA) segment, and the target audience can be career executives, and positioning can be entrepreneurship.

After all, Maslow's need hierarchy ends with PA, and career personnel wants to see their potential being actualized through the company he works. There are many lifers of companies. Late Chairman, ITC Yogi Dewashwar was ITC lifer. Tata Administrative Services (TAS) personnel are groomed for

CEOs for Tata companies. There are many Tata lifers such as Darbari Seth of Tata Chemicals, S P Muthuraman of Tata Steel, Srinath Narashiman of Tata Teleservices. Tata does not advertise for CEOs position often as all are groomed within.   Leaving Tata is like emigration for people since Tata looks after the people very well. According to (Super, Thompson, & Lindeman, 1988), the proficient character is resolved to a great extent by psychological factors, for example, fitness and scholarly capacity just as non - intellectual factors, for example, interests, qualities, and perspectives toward profession and self-viability.

In fact, Tata Positioning must be "Intrepreneurship," where while they work as company employees, act likes an entrepreneur. Orientation is bottoming line orientation, and it means Profit, Profit, and entrepreneur is expected to be bottom-lining centric of late, leading business magazines to come with employer brand ranking every year. Business India, Business Today, do annually, and companies participate eagerly.

Conclusion
An excellent Human Resources Development (HRD) focus company must focus on the quality of work-life, quality of life, and potential actualization. Excellent workplace ambiances, good canteen, company transportation for office commuting make QWL a good ranking. On Quality of Life (QL), a company can play a vital role by way of living quarters, schools, etc., and make QL trouble-free. PA is what all desire, and if there is no career progression, it is a regression of abilities. It is time companies do take stock of their brand as an employer and create an aspiration to work for.

References
1. Almeida, M. da G. M. C., & Coelho, A. F. M. (2019). The antecedents of corporate reputation and image and their impacts on employee commitment and performance: The moderating role of CSR. Corporate Reputation Review, 22(1), 10–25.

2. Ambler, T., & Barrow, S. (1996). The employer brand. Journal of Brand Management, 4(3), 185–206.

3. Backhaus, K., & Tikoo, S. (2004). Conceptualizing and researching employer branding. Career Development International.

4. Barrow, S., & Mosley, R. (2011). The employer brand: Bringing the best of brand management to people at work. John Wiley & Sons.

5. Batson, C. D. (1998). Prosocial behavior and altruism. The Handbook of Social Psychology, 4, 282–316.

6. Bauman, C. W., & Skitka, L. J. (2012). Corporate social responsibility as a source of employee satisfaction. Research in Organizational Behavior, 32, 63–86.

7. Beane, T. P., & Ennis, D. M. (1987). Market segmentation: a review. European Journal of Marketing.

8. Crane, A., & Matten, D. (2005). Corporate citizenship: Missing the point or missing the boat? A reply to van Oosterhout. Academy of Management Review, 30(4), 681–684.

9. Dacin, P. A., & Smith, D. C. (1994). The effect of brand portfolio characteristics on consumer evaluations of brand extensions. Journal of Marketing Research, 31(2), 229–242.

10. Donaldson, T., & Preston, L. E. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation: Concepts, evidence, and implications. Academy of Management Review, 20(1), 65–91.

11. Dovidio, J. F., Piliavin, J. A., Schroeder, D. A., & Penner, L. A. (2017). The social psychology of prosocial behavior. Psychology Press.

12. Ergun, H. S., & Tatar, B. (2018). Employer branding and employee attitudes: mediating role of person-organization fit. Research Journal of Business and Management, 5(2), 110–120.

13. Farjam, S., & Hongyi, X. (2015). Reviewing the concept of brand equity and evaluating consumer-

based brand equity (CBBE) models. International Journal of Management Science and Business Administration, 1(8), 14–29.

14. Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach (Boston: Pitman, 1984). Jennings, in the Article Cited above, Quotes Freeman and Gives Additional Information Concerning the Influence of His Work.

15. Guzmán, F., Abimbola, T., Shamma, H. M., & Hassan, S. S. (2009). Customer and non-customer perspectives for examining corporate reputation. Journal of Product & Brand Management.

16. Keller, K. L., & Lehmann, D. R. (2006). Brands and branding: Research findings and future priorities. Marketing Science, 25(6), 740–759.

17. KESEN, M. (2016). Linking organizational identification with individual creativity: organizational citizenship behavior as a mediator. Journal of Yasar University, 11(41).

18. Kotler, P., Brown, L., Adam, S., & Armstrong, G. (2004). Marketing . Frenchs Forest. New South Wales: Pearson Prentice Hall.

19. Laroche, M., Kim, C., & Zhou, L. (1996). Brand familiarity and confidence as determinants of purchase intention: An empirical test in a multiple brand context. Journal of Business Research, 37(2), 115–120.

20. Melewar, T. C. (2008). Facets of corporate identity, communication and reputation. Routledge.
21. Singh, U., & Srivastava, K. B. L. (2016). Organizational trust and organizational citizenship behaviour. Global Business Review, 17(3), 594–609.

22. Srivastava, P., & Bhatnagar, J. (2010). Employer brand for talent acquisition: an exploration towards its measurement. Vision, 14(1–2), 25–34.

23. Super, D. E., Thompson, A. S., & Lindeman, R. H. (1988). Adult Career Concerns Inventory: Manual for research and exploratory use in counseling. Consulting Psychologists Press.

24. Suryani, N. N., Gama, I. G., & Parwita, G. B. S. (2019). The effect of organizational compensation and commitment to organizational citizenship behavior in the cooperative and small, middle enterprises department of Bali province. International Journal of Contemporary Research and Review, 10(1), 21210–21218.

25. Tanwar, K., & Prasad, A. (2016). Exploring the relationship between employer branding and employee retention. Global Business Review, 17(3_suppl), 186S--206S.

26. Tuominen, P. (1999). Managing brand equity. Lta, 1(99), 65–100.

27. Turauskas, L., Rita, B., & Krikštolaitis, R. (2013). Exploring employer branding to enhance distinctiveness in higher education. European Scientific Journal. Macedonia: European Scientific Institute, 2013, Vol. 9, No. 19.

28. Wedel, M., & Kamakura, W. A. (2002). Introduction to the special issue on market segmentation. Intern. J. of Research in Marketing, 19, 181–183.