

A Review on Disabilities Education Act and Training Learners with Disabilities

Mehak Sharma¹, Dr. Kuldip Grewal², Dr. Mohua Khosla³

1. Ph.D. Research Scholar, C.T University, Ludhiana.
2. Professor, C.T University, Ludhiana.
3. Associate Professor, Malwa Central College of Education for women, Ludhiana.

Abstract

This article investigates patterns in research since the 1975 entry of the Education for All Handicapped Children Act (presently known as the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act), notes holes in the writing, and proposes ideas for future bearings music instruction specialists could take in investigating the requirements and encounters of music instructors what's more, their understudies with handicaps. A greater part of existing writing inspects educator insights and perspectives toward understudies with unique requirements and preservice music instructor planning. As the quantity of understudies served under the People with Disabilities Education Act proceeds to develop, and strategy changes make more mind boggling assumptions of instructors, music schooling analysts need to keep on contemplating these points and widen examination to incorporate outside points of view, appraisal and assessment, and more exploration on study hall practices and voices of understudies with handicaps to more readily serve music educators and their understudies.

Keywords: Learners, Training, Disabilities.

Introduction

In 1975, Congress passed the Education for All Impaired Children Act (EAHCA). Preceding this, understudies with incapacities were regularly refused any assistance, prohibited from the educational system and cooperation with their friends, misdiagnosed or undiscovered, and didn't get satisfactory assets through the American public educational system (U. S. Office of Special Education Projects, n.d.). The EAHCA has been revised a few times (1986, 1990, 1997, 2004) and is at present known as the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). This demonstration means to furnish youngsters with fitting schooling at all prohibitive climate conceivable (Public Dissemination Center for Children with Inabilities, 2011). Because of the adjustments in enactment, understudies determined to have inabilities are currently included in classes with their nondisabled peers, which demonstrates there are more understudies with incapacities present in the music study hall than at any other time (U. S. Office of Special Training Programs. Past surveys of writing have inspected instructor perspectives and discernments, curricular and evaluative facilities, research on learning incapacities, mental imbalance, and hearing debilitation, and getting ready music educators to show understudies with inabilities. This audit looks at patterns in peer-assessed music schooling research as it applies to the music study hall since the 1975 entry of the Education for All Handicapped Kids Act, relates the examination to the changes of the lawmaking body in play at the hour of each examination's distribution (utilizing the time of distribution as a determinant), notes holes in the writing, and proposes ideas as to future bearings scientists could take in investigating the comprehensive

study hall. Sources referred to are from peerreviewed diaries and prohibit research from the spaces of music treatment, cognizance, and music discernment except if those investigations straightforwardly applied to the music study hall. Understanding the various cycles of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, its effect on music instructors, and the manners by which music training scientists affect the calling can help us to all the more likely serve understudies and educators in the music homeroom also, help to make the music training calling as comprehensive as could really be expected.

Schooling for All Handicapped Kids Act, 1975

The Education for All Handicapped Children Act (EAHCA) was passed by Congress in 1975. This law was composed

- To guarantee that all kids with incapacities get a free fitting government funded training accentuating specialized curriculum and related administrations intended to address their issues
- To guarantee that the privileges of kids with handicaps also, their folks are secured
- To give instruction for kids incapacities at all prohibitive climate
- To survey and guarantee the adequacy of endeavors to instruct kids with incapacities.

September 1, 1978 was the cutoff time for states to submit their arrangements for consistence with the law (Damer, 2001).

Perspectives and Perceptions

As understudies with inabilities were mainstreamed into music classes, music schooling analysts investigated the ramifications of this for educators, inspecting mentalities, discernments, furthermore, rehearses. Before the consistence cutoff time for the EAHCA, Shehan (1977) overviewed music managers also, tracked down that solitary 28% thought about their instructors prepared to work with extraordinary populaces. After the consistence cutoff time for the EAHCA, Gilbert and Asmus (1981) found that while 63% of music teachers instructed understudies with handicaps, short of what 33%, everything being equal, had taken part in individualized instruction program (IEP) advancement. 66% of members demonstrated experience with EAHCA enactment; however finished 70% of members additionally demonstrated a requirement for more data on this enactment. Atterbury (1986) overviewed the mainstreaming practices of rudimentary music instructors.

Members demonstrated that they were not accepting enough managerial help and were excluded from IEP advancement, however a larger part of educators felt that their mainstreamed understudies were decently (61%) to very fruitful (25%) in class. Study hall Experiences Jellison, Brooks, and Huck (1984) noticed that most research fail to look at how mainstreaming methods worked in the study hall. They looked to correct this by investigating mentality and social communication in an incorporated music homeroom in enormous and little agreeable gatherings. Jellison et al. discovered that music homeroom encounters could work with positive connections, especially in little helpful gatherings, recommending that acknowledgment of understudies with inabilities can increment when training conditions are organized and supported for social cooperation.

The Education for All Handicapped Youngsters Act Amendment, 1986

In 1986, the EAHCA was changed to incorporate administrations for kids with inabilities from birth. This revision had no presence in music training research diaries in the 4 years following its

section, maybe in light of the fact that of the absence of educator confirmation programs in ahead of schedule youth music schooling. Moreover, research on obliging understudies with inabilities at all levels was generally missing. It is conceivable that as mainstreaming turned out to be more predominant, researchers wanted to change their concentration to how understudies with handicaps psychologically reacted to and experienced music, a region not covered in this survey. Just a single music instruction research study (Gfeller, Darrow, and Hedden, 1990) was distributed during this time, looking at past preservice music instructor readiness and educator impression of troubles in working with various sorts of understudies. Educators announced an absence of preservice arrangement in mainstreaming furthermore, got minimal instructional help as in-administration teachers. These ends highlighted the requirement for more preservice arrangement in the space of obliging understudies with handicaps and more instructional support for in-administration instructors, supporting prior discoveries.

The Individuals With Disabilities Instruction Act, 1990

In 1990, the EAHCA was corrected again to offer change administrations from secondary school to grown-up life, to incorporate youngsters with mental imbalance and horrendous cerebrum wounds, to characterize administrations and innovation accessible, to explain the necessities of giving the most un-prohibitive climate, what's more, to eliminate language presently viewed as improper (Assistive Technology Training Online Project, n.d.). This enactment was renamed the Individuals with Handicaps Education Act (IDEA). With the entry of the IDEA, there was a resurgence in investigating how music instructors obliged understudies with incapacities.

Be that as it may, research didn't straightforwardly identify with the new corrections, yet proceeded with the attention on perspectives and impression of instructors and understudies toward understudies with handicaps. Studies additionally investigated instructor mentalities toward understudies with handicaps. Frisque, Niebur, and Humphreys (1994) overviewed music teachers in Arizona and tracked down that most members had almost no readiness or expert advancement in working with understudies with inabilities.

Sideridis and Chandler (1995) overviewed the perspectives of general music instructors, finding that educators had positive perspectives toward coordinating understudies with learning and actual incapacities, however negative mentalities toward incorporating understudies with different handicaps, mental impediment, furthermore, passionate/social issues. This proposed that as understudies had more confounded necessities or troublesome practices, instructors felt less prepared to oblige them.

In an all the more barely engaged assessment of how open school music programs mainstreamed understudies with inabilities, Darrow and Gfeller (1991) tracked down that more than half of understudies with hearing hindrances joined in customary music classes, however that educators experienced issues giving help to those understudies due to an absence of instructive preparing, absence of instructional or head support, and an absence of suitable educational plans. Be that as it may, members additionally distinguished helpful strategies and materials for understudies with hearing weaknesses, which was the first occasion when that music training research investigated strategies for effective mainstreaming.

Darrow and Johnson (1994) estimated understudy mentalities toward individuals with incapacities. Middle school understudies had lower affectability to individuals with incapacities than secondary school understudies, and more seasoned understudies illustrated more acknowledgment generally speaking, proposing that mentalities can change with time, openness, development, and learning. Darrow and Johnson noticed this may imply that incorporation ought

to happen from the get-go in the homeroom climate to augment acknowledgment. Johnson and Darrow (1997) moreover taken a gander at the impact of models of incorporation on perspectives of musicians. Musicians watched a video in which understudies with incapacities took an interest in band and were positive models of consideration. The individuals who watched the video had fundamentally more inspirational mentalities. Johnson what's more, Darrow presumed that since the fruitful models were huge in improving perspectives, this could have significant ramifications for study halls if educators imitated these models over the long haul.

Marking

Marking of understudies with incapacities was another space of research development soon after the entry of the Thought. Cassidy and Sims (1991) analyzed connections among marks and assessments of kids with handicaps in a choral setting. A great many people with information on individuals' incapacities gave higher scores than the individuals who were uninformed. This recommended that subjects would in general be more liberal when put forth mindful of the attempts of those with incapacities. Then again, this could imply that evaluations were higher in light of the fact that the entertainers surpassed the low assumptions adjudicators had toward understudies with inabilities. Cassidy and Sims inferred that music teachers expected to structure fitting connections between various sorts of understudies and change assumptions for melodic and social objectives in the comprehensive music homeroom.

Another examination analyzing the impact of names was a 1994 examination by Jellison and Duke. The specialists inspected how the assumptions for instructors changed depending on the names allocated to understudies. Members read a speculative situation in which they decided to concede understudies into their music class dependent on understudies' music and social abilities and evaluated the practices' significance in respect to two speculative third graders, one named as "hindered" and the other nonlabeled. Members were more willing to show music practices to understudies with incapacities than social practices.

Homeroom Experiences

The 1986 change of the EAHCA included administrations for youngsters with incapacities from birth. Humpal (1991) analyzed the impacts of coordinating youngsters (ages three to five) with and without incapacities in a preschool musicclass. The understudies met once every week for 15 meetings. The understudies expanded their cooperation following a music treatment intercession and an instructor study demonstrated that educators felt the incorporated homeroom worked with collaboration furthermore, advanced acknowledgment.

Another examination researched the experience of Ann, a kid with handicaps, all through a school year in music training and music treatment programs (Jellison and Gainer, 1995). Ann was on-task more than off-task in the two settings, yet on-task practices were twice as high in the more modest treatment setting in which there were less understudies, more helpers present, and less free work time. Jellison and Gainer proposed that experience with exercises and ecological elements influences an understudy's accomplishment in a comprehensive music training homeroom and that educators and specialists should cooperate to make progress between music treatment and the music homeroom effective. These two examinations (Humpal, 1991; Jellison and Gainer, 1995) were significant in that they were the initial two investigations in music training zeroed in on the real factors of mainstreaming in the music study hall, and what those real factors mean for understudies with handicaps.

People With Disabilities Schooling Act Amendments of 1997

In 1997, the IDEA was revised again to require consideration of understudies with disabilities on state and area evaluations, further build up the contribution of general training educators in making IEPs, and increment parent investment in choices (National Information Center for Children and Youth with Disabilities, 1998).

Mainstreaming wound down as making a comprehensive homeroom for understudies moved to the front line. While a enormous measure of music schooling research fixated on educator insights and perspectives toward understudies with incapacities, some new roads of examination showed up, counting planning of preservice music educators to train understudies with handicaps and how incorporation may influence understudies with regular requirements.

Mentalities and Perceptions

Atterbury (1998) inspected mainstreaming in music classes in Maine and contrasted those discoveries and overviews of different states in which educator planning in exceptional instruction was not needed. Just 8% of respondents had no proper specialized curriculum preparing. Nonetheless, as found in past examinations, music educators were not piece of mainstreaming position choices, with most announcing practically zero association all the while. Cooper (1999) analyzed instructor practices and suppositions, studying music teachers in New Jersey. Rather than Atterbury's discoveries, 33% of respondents had no preparation in working with understudies with handicaps. Educators again showed absence of inclusion in position choices and trouble in getting data and suitable help from a custom curriculum educators and associates.

In one of the primary subjective investigations in the space of music teachers obliging understudies with disabilities, Darrow (1999) investigated impression of Midwestern music teachers toward incorporation in the music homeroom. Darrow talked with general, vocal, and instrumental educators in a school area and got some information about issues identified with incorporation. Most educators felt that incorporation was positive for all understudies, however that cooperation with specialists and music advisors would make incorporation more effective.

Johnson and Darrow (2003) thought about mentalities of middle school music understudies from Italy and the US toward individuals with disabilities. They found that understudies from the two nations had not many contrasts in their perspectives and were for the most part certain toward individuals with incapacities. Colwell (1998) inspected rudimentary music understudies' perspectives toward individuals with incapacities furthermore, analyzed techniques for evolving perspectives. Colwell utilized video containing passages of understudies with handicaps partaking in music exercises with differing marks as a treatment, yet tracked down no critical contrasts.

Colwell, Thompson, and Berke (2001) investigated the impact of various introduction designs on the perspectives of rudimentary music understudies toward understudies with incapacities. The data based introduction bunch saw a video of understudies with incapacities effectively performing in music exercises. The reenactment based gathering took an interest in reenactments of incapacities in a music setting to improve mindfulness and affectability. No huge contrasts were found in the perspectives of understudies after the treatment, and mean scores really diminished marginally in many territories. The analysts proposed that expanded information may have made understudies more unfortunate of understudies with disabilities. The investigations by Johnson and Darrow (2003), Colwell (1998), and Colwell et al. (2001) are valuable in

investigating understudy mentalities, however it is significant to take note of that none of these examinations really gave understudies direct contact with understudies with disabilities.

People with Disabilities Instruction Improvement Act of 2004

In 2004, the IDEA was reauthorized, commanding that information on results be accounted for and that school regions give sufficient guidance and intercession for understudies to keep away from a specialized curriculum names if conceivable. The reauthorization included necessities for exceptionally qualified unique instruction educators, required more prominent responsibility for understudies, and expected schools to diminish overrepresentation of minority understudies in a custom curriculum programs (Chamber for Exceptional Children, n.d.). From 2004 to the present, there has been an expanded presence of music training research zeroed in on showing understudies with handicaps. Exploration keeps on inspecting educator discernments furthermore, mentalities, however the space of most noteworthy development is in the planning of preservice instructors.

Instructor and Student Attitudes and Insights Scott, Jellison, Chappell, and Standridge (2007) tried to look at issues common in past examinations on music educator mentalities about incorporation. Instructors had positive perspectives about consideration, admittance to help administrations, and understudy accomplishment. An examination by Nabb and Balcetiš (2010) took a smaller concentration and reviewed Nebraska secondary school band chiefs' encounters and perspectives toward obliging understudies with actual incapacities. A dominant part of band chiefs had an understudy's physical incapacity limit cooperation in instrumental music and communicated worries about how to incorporate understudies with actual disabilities, adjust instruments, and the expense of adjusted instruments.

McCord and Watts (2010) overviewed music instructors about their insight into and perspectives about utilization of assistive innovation in showing understudies with disabilities. Instructors demonstrated casual friend preparing as the most widely recognized strategy for preparing in obliging understudies, however, over a fourth of respondents had gotten no preparation in the previous 5 years. While 85% expressed that they adjusted guidance, objectives, and goals for understudies, just 9% felt their abilities at doing so were equipped. A larger part of members (69%) had no information on innovation in vision or understanding guides, 60% had little information on PC furthermore, instrument innovation helps, 51% demonstrated close to nothing information on correspondence help innovation, and 52% had little information on seating and situating assistive guides. These discoveries demonstrated that a lion's share of music instructors were not educated about assistive advancements that could help understudies with handicaps.

Suggestions

Educator and music understudy insights and attitudinal concentrates reliably make up the majority of music schooling research in the space of obliging understudies with disabilities. As music instructors and analysts acquired understandings of what mainstreaming and later consideration involved, research extended to incorporate examinations into the impacts of naming and study hall mainstreaming/ consideration encounters, explicitly looking at understudy practices, combination of understudies, and encouraging imagination in understudies with handicaps. The IDEA corrections additionally prodded new exploration in the space of preservice music instructor groundwork for obliging understudies with handicaps, looking at accessible coursework in educator arrangement programs, finding important abilities of music instructors, and later, the part of hands on work in preservice instructor planning.

As per the Data Accountability Center, a program subsidized by the Office of Special Education Programs in the U.S. Division of Education, starting at 2010, more than 6,000,000 understudies and kids were served under the IDEA (Data Accountability Center, 2010). As the number of understudies served under the IDEA proceeds to develop, it is significant that scientists keep on inspecting these points and expand examination to incorporate external viewpoints, appraisal, assessment, study hall rehearses, also, voices of understudies with incapacities.

A new survey of writing (Jellison and Taylor, 2007) investigated music research zeroed in on mentalities toward consideration. In joining 32 investigations distributed between 1975 and 2005, Jellison and Taylor tracked down that an aggregate of 6,743 understudies and educators/advisors were members. They noticed the overall lopsidedness in the nature of the studies and that it was to have just 32 investigations in 30 years (p. 21). While those 32 investigations are to be sure inadequate in the wide range of examination, they establish the dominant part of music instruction research in the space of obliging understudies with incapacities. Jellison and Taylor's affirmation that more thorough music instruction research exploring mentalities toward individuals with incapacities is required is justified, yet Jellison and Taylor's figures say a lot. Out of 32 attitudinal investigations in music training, "youngsters with uncommon necessities were members in just 2 examinations, and no investigations estimated mentalities of guardians, kin, experts other than instructors, or grown-ups with incapacities" (Jellison and Taylor, 2007, p. 9). We as music training analysts must augment our vision of teaching understudies with incapacities and consider different viewpoints that have not been recently included.

End

This audit of writing inspected peer-looked into music training research in the space of obliging understudies with incapacities, and subsequently, barred articles on music treatment and cognizance, books, and papers.

While it is conceivable that a portion of the holes in research could be represented with the things barred, it is the creator's discernment that peer-looked into music instructive research reflects latest things and points. As proven by this survey, music training research has an extraordinary arrangement of ground to cover in considering understudies with incapacities. As analysts keep on inspecting how to best serve inservice what's more, preservice educators, understudies, and the local area, the requirement for new lines of examination on the most proficient method to best serve various kinds of individuals and students is unavoidable. To cite an overview responder in Salvador's (2010) study, "Who is certainly not a 'extraordinary student'?" (p. 37).

References

American Psychological Association. (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

Assistive Technology Training Online Project. (n.d.). *Specialeducation laws*. Retrieved from <http://atto.buffalo.edu/registered/ATBasics/Foundation/Laws/specialed.php#EHA1>

Atterbury, B. W. (1986). A survey of present mainstreaming practices in the southern United States. *Journal of Music Therapy*, 23, 202–207.

Atterbury, B. W. (1998). Music teacher preparation in special education and the effect on mainstreaming. *Update: Applications of Research in Music Education*, 16(2), 29–31.

- Cassidy, J. W., & Colwell, C. M. (2011). University students' perceptions of an inclusive music production. *Journal of Music Teacher Education*, 21(2), 28–40.
- Cassidy, J. W., & Sims, W. L. (1991). Effects of special education labels on peers' and adults' evaluations of a handicapped youth choir. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 39, 23–34.
- Colwell, C. M. (1998). Effect of information (video, label, success) on elementary band students' attitudes toward individuals with special needs. *Journal of Music Therapy*, 35, 19–33.
- Colwell, C. M., & Thompson, L. K. (2000). "Inclusion" of information on mainstreaming in undergraduate music education curricula. *Journal of Music Therapy*, 37, 205–221.
- Colwell, C. M., Thompson, L. K., & Berke, M. (2001). Disability simulations and information: Techniques for modifying the attitudes of elementary school music students. *Journal of Music Therapy*, 38, 321–341.
- Cooper, N. A. (1999). A survey of current music inclusion practices and issues in New Jersey. *Contributions to Music Education*, 26(2), 9–37.
- Council for Exceptional Children. (n.d.). *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act 2004*.
- Darrow, A. A. (2003). Dealing with diversity: The inclusion of students with disabilities in music. *Research Studies in Music Education*, 21, 45–57.
- Darrow, A. A., & Gfeller, K. (1991). A study of public school music programs mainstreaming hearing impaired students. *Journal of Music Therapy*, 28, 23–29.
- Darrow, A. A., & Johnson, C. M. (1994) Junior and senior high school music students' attitudes toward individuals with a disability. *Journal of Music Therapy*, 31, 266–279.
- Data Accountability Center. (2010). *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act data*. Retrieved from <https://www.ideadata.org/default.asp>
- Education for All Handicapped Children Act. (1975). *Public Law 94-142* (now called Individuals with Disabilities Education Act [IDEA]; 20 U.S.C. §1400 et seq.).
- Education for All Handicapped Children Amendments. (1986). *Public Law 94-142* (20 U.S.C. §1400 et seq.)
- Frisque, J., Niebur, L., & Humphreys, J. T. (1994). Music mainstreaming practices in Arizona. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 42, 94–104.
- Gfeller, K., Darrow, A., & Hedden, S. K. (1990). Perceived effectiveness of mainstreaming in Iowa and Kansas schools. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 38, 90–101.
- Gilbert, J. P., & Asmus, E. P., Jr. (1981). Mainstreaming: Music educators' participation and professional needs. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 29, 31–37.
- Haywood, J. (2007). You can't be in my choir if you can't stand up: One journey toward inclusion. *Music Education Research*, 8, 407–416.
- Hourigan, R. M. (2007). Preparing music teachers to teach students with special needs. *Update: Applications of Research in Music Education*, 26(1), 5–14.
- Hourigan, R. M. (2009). Preservice music teachers' perceptions of fieldwork experiences in a special needs classroom. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 57, 152–168.
- Humpal, M. (1991). The effects of an integrated early childhood music program on social interaction among children with handicaps and their typical peers. *Journal of Music Therapy*, 28, 161–177.
- Individuals With Disabilities Education Act. (1990). *Public Law 105-17* (20 U.S.C. §1400 et seq.).
- Individuals With Disabilities Education Act Amendments.(1997). *Public Law 105-17* (20 U.S.C. §1400 et seq.).
- Individuals With Disabilities Education Improvement Act.(2004). *Public Law 105-17* (20 U. S. C. § 1400 et seq.).

- Jellison, J. A. (2002). On-task participation of typical students close to and away from classmates with disabilities in an elementary music classroom. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 50, 343–355.
- Jellison, J. A., & Taylor, D. M. (2007). Attitudes toward inclusion and students with disabilities: A review of three decades of music research. *Bulletin of the Council for Research in Music Education*, 172, 9–23.
- Johnson, C. M., & Darrow, A. A. (1997). The effect of positive models of inclusion on band students' attitudinal statements regarding the integration of students with disabilities. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 45, 173–184.
- Johnson, C. M., & Darrow, A. A. (2003). Attitudes of junior high school music students' from Italy and the USA toward individuals with a disability. *Bulletin of the Council for Research in Music Education*, 155, 33–43.
- Kaiser, K. A., & Johnson, K. E. (2000). The effect of interactive experience on music majors' perceptions of music for deaf students. *Journal of Music Therapy*, 37, 222–234.
- McCord, K. A. (2004). Moving beyond "That's all I can do:" Encouraging musical creativity in children with learning disabilities. *Bulletin of the Council for Research in Music Education*, 159, 23–32.
- National Council on Disability. (2008). *The No Child Left Behind Act and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: A progress report*. Retrieved from <http://www.ncd.gov/policy/education/>
- National Dissemination Center for Children with Disabilities. (2011). *IDEA—the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*. Retrieved from <http://nichcy.org/laws/idea>
- National Information Center for Children and Youth with Disabilities. (1998). *The IDEA amendments of 1997*. Retrieved from <http://nichcy.org/wp-content/uploads/docs/nd26.pdf>
- Salvador, K. (2010). Who isn't a special learner? A survey of how music teacher education programs prepare future educators to work with exceptional populations. *Journal of Music Teacher Education*, 19(2), 1–12.
- Scott, L. P., Jellison, J. A., Chappell, E. W., & Standridge, A. A. (2007). Talking with music teachers about inclusion: Perceptions, opinions, and experiences. *Journal of Music Therapy*, 44, 38–56.
- Shehan, P. (1977). A brief study of music education for exceptional children in Ohio. *Contributions to Music Education*, 5, 47–53.
- Sideridis, G. D., & Chandler, J. P. (1995). Attitudes and characteristics of general music teachers toward integrating children with developmental disabilities. *Update: Application of Research in Music Education*, 14, 11–15.
- Stuart, M., & Gilbert, J. (1977). Mainstreaming: Needs assessment through a videotape visual scale. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 25, 283–289.
- U. S. Office of Special Education Programs. (n.d.). *History: Twenty-five years of progress in educating children with disabilities through IDEA*. Retrieved from <http://www2.ed.gov/policy/speced/leg/idea/history.html>
- VanWeelden, K., & Whipple, J. (2005a). Preservice teachers' predictions, perceptions, and actual assessment of students with special needs in secondary general music. *Journal of Music Therapy*, 42, 200–215.
- VanWeelden, K., & Whipple, J. (2005b). The effects of field experience on music education majors' perceptions of music instruction for secondary students with special needs. *Journal of Music Teacher Education*, 14(2), 62–69.

VanWeelden, K., & Whipple, J. (2007). Preservice music teachers' predictions, perceptions, and assessment of students with special needs: The need for training in student assessment. *Journal of Music Therapy, 44*, 74–84.

White, L. D. (1982). A study of attitudes of selected public school music educators toward the integration of handicapped students in music classes. *Contributions to Music Education, 9*(5), 36–47. (Original work published 1981)

Whipple, J., & VanWeelden, K. (2012). Educational supports for students with special needs: Preservice music educators' perceptions. *Update: Applications of Research in Music Education, 30*(2), 32–45.

Wilson, B., & McCrary, J. (1996). The effect of instruction on music educators' attitudes toward students with disabilities. *Journal of Research in Music Education, 44*, 26–33.