JOURNAL OF CRITICAL REVIEWS

ISSN- 2394-5125 VOL 6, ISSUE 07, 2019

Innovative technology solutions that are sustainable, speeding up and making mass housing construction more cost-effective.

Dr A Ravitheja

Associate Professor in SVR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract:

India has succeeded in meeting the food and clothing needs of its vast population, but providing adequate shelter for everyone remains a challenge. Despite impressive growth in housing stock from 65 million in 1947 to 187.05 million in 2001, there's still a significant gap to fill. An estimated 26 million homes were needed by 2012 to bridge the substantial difference between the demand and supply of housing units.

1. INTRODUCTION

Certainly, housing is a fundamental human requirement alongside food and clothing. Despite India's success in meeting the food and clothing needs of its large population, ensuring adequate shelter for all remains a persistent challenge. The housing stock has significantly increased from 65 million in 1947 to 187.05 million in 2001, which is noteworthy. However, the task of providing shelter to everyone still proves to be elusive.

It was estimated that by 2012, 26 million homes were required to bridge the substantial gap between the demand and supply of housing units. The shortage of housing is particularly acute in urban areas, notably in the 35 Indian cities with a population of over a million, as indicated by the 2001 census (Carol, 2005).

As the economy improves and industries like IT/BT and retail flourish, they attract many young, unskilled, and unemployed individuals. However, these youths show minimal interest in construction jobs due to the demanding physical labor involved. This reluctance contributes to a significant shortage of both skilled and unskilled labor, making it challenging to construct quality houses and leading to delays and increased project costs.

The traditional methods of construction, along with issues of labor availability and costs, are becoming increasingly critical and challenging for the housing industry. This is particularly relevant considering the Welfare Schemes introduced by both State and Central Governments

aimed at enhancing the quality of life for the impoverished in India. Consequently, to undertake large-scale housing projects, reducing dependency on labor and embracing innovative technologies with mechanization becomes essential. These technologies need to facilitate rapid construction while ensuring high-quality, durable structures in a cost-effective manner.

1.2 RMD TECHNOLOGY

RMD technology revolutionizes the construction landscape by amalgamating all structural components, including walls, beams, columns, roof slabs, and more, into a single unified form using reinforced cement concrete (RCC) encased within aluminum or comparable formwork. This method orchestrates a synchronized casting of elements, creating a seamless, joint-less structure known as monolithic construction. The outcomes of this technique are multifaceted: a flawless surface finish, heightened durability, and fortified resistance against seismic activity.

Fundamentally, RMD technology embodies a paradigm shift in building practices. Its hallmark lies in the amalgamation of structural elements in one swift operation, eliminating traditional joints and delivering superior quality, robust, and cost-efficient housing solutions. The streamlined process allows simultaneous work, expediting timelines while maintaining stringent quality standards. The resultant structures are not only enduring but also possess an innate resilience against disasters, positioning them as a cornerstone in the quest for sustainable and resilient housing solutions.

The embrace of RMD Technology in mass housing initiatives has sparked a remarkable transformation in the industry's perception of quality. Its efficacy has garnered commendation and acclaim from stakeholders across the spectrum—be it designers, proprietors, contractors, or governing agencies. This shift in perception stems from the technology's ability to yield structures that exude pride, showcase excellence in design and execution, and meet the end-users' expectations impeccably.

However, the journey with RMD technology does come with its set of considerations. While its prowess in creating unparalleled housing solutions is undeniable, certain limitations warrant attention. It finds optimal utility in projects with recurring floor plans or structures that mirror identical designs across multiple stories. The initial investment required for procuring the

formwork can be substantial, and the embedding of utilities within concrete walls and slabs might pose challenges in terms of relocation or repairs.

Notwithstanding these limitations, the advantages of RMD technology in revolutionizing construction practices outweigh the challenges. It has emerged as a beacon for crafting sustainable, rapid, and resilient housing solutions, demonstrating its capacity to address the burgeoning need for rapid dwelling units, especially for economically weaker sections and low-income groups. The technology's capacity for cost-effectiveness, amplified floor space, accelerated construction timelines, and simplified utilization underscores its pivotal role in shaping the future of housing in India.

2. Takeaways:

1. Speed: RMD allows for a 3 to 4-day cycle and completion of an entire house within a week at the site.

2. Quality: Achieves precise design parameters without much deviation, offering high-quality construction.

3. Labour: Requires minimal labor and skills, leading to fewer quality issues and faster construction.

4. Technology: RMD constructs robust, durable, and sustainable houses, more resistant to tornadoes, earthquakes, etc.

5. Cost: Economical for mass housing or similar repeated projects across multiple sites.

2.2 Limitations:

1. Primarily suitable for mass housing with repetitive plans or multi-storey structures with identical floor plans.

2. Initial investment for formwork procurement is substantial.

3. Challenges with relocating or repairing utilities embedded in concrete walls/slabs.

4. Houses might retain more heat due to the monolithic structure, but this can be mitigated using lightweight concrete, thermal insulated paint, or simple insulation techniques.

5. Training labor for handling designed formworks may initially pose a challenge.

3 Conclusion:

RMD technology stands as a powerful solution to India's urgent need for swift dwelling units. Its array of advantages, from cost efficiency to amplified floor space, swift construction,

durability, earthquake resilience, eco-friendliness, and simplified design, address critical facets of housing development. Yet, its suitability is primarily tailored for projects with repetitive plans and might demand initial investment and specialized labor training.

The impetus for quicker, superior quality, and cost-effective construction in mass housing illuminates the potential of RMD technology. As India grapples with housing challenges, this innovation emerges as a promising avenue to meet the burgeoning demand for resilient and swiftly built housing units. The technology's multifaceted benefits align with the core objectives of addressing housing shortages while ensuring durability, efficiency, and environmental consciousness in the construction landscape.

References

[1] V. Karlos, G. Solomos, and B. Viaccoz, Calculation of blast loads for application to structural components. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2013.
[2] B. Samali, G. McKenzie, C. Zhang, and E. Ancich, "Review of the basics of state of the art of blast loading," Asian J. Civ. Eng., vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 775–791, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s42107-018-0063-y.
[3] Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 3-340-02, structures to resist the effects of accidental explosions. U.S. Department of Defense (DoD), 2008. [Online]. Available: http://dod.wbdg.org/.
[4] D. Zhang et al., "Experimental study on scaling of RC beams under close-in blast loading," Eng. Fail. Anal., vol. 33, pp. 497–504, Oct. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.engfailanal.2013.06.020.
[5] S. Liu et al., "Blast responses of concrete beams reinforced with GFRP bars: Experimental research and equivalent static analysis," Compos. Struct., vol. 226, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2019.111271.
[6] M. Carriere, P. J. Heffernan, R. G. Wight, and A. Braimah, "Behaviour of steel reinforced polymer (SRP) strengthened RC members under blast load," Can. J. Civ. Eng., vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 1356–1365, Aug. 2009, doi: 10.1139/L09-053.
[7] S. V Chaudhari and M. A. Chakrabarti, "Modeling of concrete for nonlinear analysis Using Finite Element Code ABAQUS," 2012.
[8] A. Jahami, Y. Temsah, and J. Khatib, "The efficiency of using CFRP as a strengthening technique for reinforced concrete beams subjected to blast loading," Int. J. Adv. Struct. Eng., vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 411–420, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s40091-019-00242-w.
[9] "Abaqus/CAE User's Manual Abaqus 6.17 Abaqus/CAE User's Manual.